

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PETER J. MUNOZ, JR.,
 #94605

Plaintiff,

vs.

HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,

Defendants.

2:10-cv-01564-RLH-RJJ

ORDER

On December 2, 2010, the court ordered plaintiff to submit the filing fee or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the court-approved form as well as a complaint on the court-approved form (docket #4). Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (docket #5), which is granted. However, instead of submitting a complaint on the form, plaintiff merely submitted the form and wrote “see filed motion” instead of completing the complaint form. This is insufficient to initiate a civil rights action in this court. Plaintiff is further advised that his complaint should set forth his claims in short and plain terms, simply, concisely and directly. *See Swierkeiewicz v. Sorema N.A.*, 534 U.S. 506, 514 (2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 8. If plaintiff requires more space to set forth his claims than is provided by the complaint form, he must file a motion for leave to file additional pages along with his proposed complaint and any additional pages.

Robert J. Johnston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE